

# **ROCHESTER, MINNESOTA 1/2**

**2014 MUNICIPAL EQUALITY INDEX SCORECARD** 

COUNTY

00

00

00

COUNTY

0

STATE

(12)

CITY

00

00

00

CITY

(12)

CITY

18 out of 18

**12** out of 12

AVAILABLE

(3 3

33

33

AVAILABLE

12

(12)

AVAILABLE

#### **ROCHESTER, MINNESOTA 2/2**

2014 MUNICIPAL EQUALITY INDEX SCORECARD

# IV

| IV. Municipal Services  |   |  | STATE | COUNTY | СІТҮ                | AVAILABLE                                     |
|---|---|--|-------|--------|---------------------|---|
| This section assesses the efforts of the city<br>to ensure LGBT constituents are included in<br>city services and programs.                                 | Human R   | ights Commission   |       |        | 0                   | $\left(\begin{array}{c} 4 \end{array}\right)$ |
|   | LGBT Lia  | ison to City Executive   |       |        | $\bigcirc$          | 5   |
|   | Enumera   | ted Anti-Bullying Policies                                     | 33    | 00     | 30                  | 33  |
|   | SCORE   |  |       |        | <b>6</b> out of 15  |   |
|   | BONUS   | NDO enforcement by<br>Commission/Executive                     |       |        | +0                  | +3  |
|   | BONUS   | City provides services to/supports<br>LGBT youth               |       |        | +0                  | +2  |
|   | BONUS   | City provides services to/supports<br>LGBT homeless            |       |        | +0                  | +2  |
|   | BONUS   | City provides services to/supports<br>LGBT elderly             |       |        | +0                  | +2  |
|   | BONUS   | City provides services to/supports people living with HIV/AIDS |       |        | +0                  | +2  |
| V. Law Enforcement  |   |  |       |        | СІТҮ                | AVAILABLE                                     |
| Fair enforcement of the law includes<br>responsible reporting of hate crimes and<br>engaging with the LGBT community in a<br>thoughtful and respectful way. | LGBT Po   | lice Liaison or Task Force                                     |       |        | 0                   | 8   |
|   | Reported 2012 Hate Crimes Statistics to the FBI |  |       |        | 10                  | 10  |
|   | SCORE   |  |       |        | <b>10</b> out of 18 |   |
| VI. Relationship with   | the L   | _GBT Community   |       |        | СІТҮ                | AVAILABLE                                     |
| This category measures the city leadership's<br>commitment to fully include the LGBT<br>community and to advocate for full equality.                        |   | ip's Public Position on LGBT Equality                          |       |        |                     | 5   |
|   | Leadersh<br>Efforts                             | ip's Pro-Equality Legislative/Policy                           |       |        | $(\cdot)$           | 3   |
|   | SCORE   |  |       |        | <b>6</b> out of 8   |   |
|   | BONUS   | Openly LGBT elected or appointed municipal leaders             |       |        | +0                  | +3  |
|   | BONUS   | City tests limits of restrictive state law                     |       |        | +0                  | +2  |
|   | TOTAL   |  |       | Final  | See                 | ro <b>70</b>                                  |

#### V.

### VI

TOTAL SCORE 70 + TOTAL BONUS 0 =

| Ι. | Non-Discrimination Laws |   |
|----|-------------------------|---|
|    |                         | _ |

This category evaluates whether discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity is prohibited by the city, county, or state in areas of employment, housing, and public accommodations.

STATE Employment 33 Housing 33 Public Accommodations 33 SCORE

# II. Relationship Recognition

Marriage, civil unions, and comprehensive domestic partnerships are matters of state policy; cities and counties have only the power to create domestic partner registries.

Marriage Equality, Civil Unions, or Domestic Partnerships Domestic Partner Registry

SCORE

### III. Municipality as Employer

By offering equivalent benefits and protections to LGBT employees, and by awarding contracts to fair-minded businesses, municipalities commit themselves to treating LGBT employees equally.

| Non-Discrimination in City Employment        | 5 5 5 5   |
|--|---|
| Domestic Partner Health Benefits             |   |
| Transgender-Inclusive Healthcare Benefits    | $ \begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \\ \bigcirc \\ \hline \\$ |
| Legal Dependent Benefits                     | (2) $(2)$   |
| Equivalent Family Leave                      | (2) $(2)$   |
| City Contractor Non-Discrimination Ordinance |   |
| City Contractor Equal Benefits Ordinance     | 0 3   |
| SCORE  | <b>18</b> out of 29   |
| BONUS Grossing Up of Employee Benefits       | +0 +2   |
| BONUS Inclusive Workplace                    | +0 +2   |
|  |   |

PTS FOR SEXUAL ORIENTATION \_\_\_\_ PTS FOR GENDER IDENTITY

BONUS PTS for criteria not accessible to all cities at this time.

FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT CITY SELECTION, CRITERIA OR THE MEI SCORING SYSTEM, PLEASE VISIT HRC.ORG/MEI.

All cities rated were provided their scorecard in advance of publication and given the opportunity to submit revisions. For feedback regarding a particular city's scorecard, please email mei@hrc.org.





#### Final Score 70

**CANNOT EXCEED 100**