

NORTH LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 1/2

2014 MUNICIPAL EQUALITY INDEX SCORECARD

| I. Non-Discrimination | Laws | STATE | COUNTY | СІТҮ | AVAILABLE |
|--|--|-------|--------|-------------|-----------|
| This category evaluates whether discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity is prohibited by the city, county, or state in areas of employment, housing, and public accommodations. | Employment | 33 | 00 | 00 | 3 3 |
| | Housing | 33 | 00 | 00 | 33 |
| | Public Accommodations | 33 | 00 | 00 | 3 3 |
| | SCORE | | | 18 • | ut of 18 |
| | | | | | |
| II. Relationship Recognition | | STATE | COUNTY | CITY | AVAILABLE |
| Marriage, civil unions, and comprehensive domestic partnerships are matters of state policy; cities and counties have only the power to create domestic partner registries. | Marriage Equality, Civil Unions, or Domestic Partnerships | 12 | | | (12) |
| | Domestic Partner Registry | | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| | SCORE | | | 12 o | ut of 12 |

III. Municipality as Employer

PTS FOR SEXUAL ORIENTATION ----- PTS FOR GENDER IDENTITY

By offering equivalent benefits and protections to LGBT employees, and by awarding contracts to fair-minded businesses, municipalities commit themselves to treating LGBT employees equally.

| nployer | СІТҮ | AVAILABLE |
|--|---------------------|-----------|
| Non-Discrimination in City Employment | 50 | 55 |
| Domestic Partner Health Benefits | 4 | 4 |
| Transgender-Inclusive Healthcare Benefits | 0 | (4) |
| Legal Dependent Benefits | (2) | (2) |
| Equivalent Family Leave | (2) | (2) |
| City Contractor Non-Discrimination Ordinance | 00 | 2 2 |
| City Contractor Equal Benefits Ordinance | • | 3 |
| SCORE | 13 out of 29 | |
| BONUS Grossing Up of Employee Benefits | +0 | +2 |
| BONUS Inclusive Workplace | +0 | +2 |
| | | |

BONUS PTS for criteria not accessible to all cities at this time.

NORTH LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 2/2

2014 MUNICIPAL EQUALITY INDEX SCORECARD

IV.

| IV. Municipal Service | es | STATE COUNTY | CITY AVAILABLE |
|---|--|--------------|---------------------|
| This section assesses the efforts of the city to ensure LGBT constituents are included in city services and programs. | Human Rights Commission | | (4) (4) |
| | LGBT Liaison to City Executive | | 0 5 |
| | Enumerated Anti-Bullying Policies | 00 33 | |
| | SCORE | | 10 out of 15 |
| | BONUS NDO enforcement by Commission/Executive | | +0 +3 |
| | BONUS City provides services to/support LGBT youth | S | +0 +2 |
| | BONUS City provides services to/support | S | +0 +2 |
| | BONUS City provides services to/support LGBT elderly | S | +0 +2 |
| | BONUS City provides services to/support people living with HIV/AIDS | S | +2 +2 |
| V. Law Enforcement | t | | CITY AVAILABLE |
| Fair enforcement of the law includes responsible reporting of hate crimes and engaging with the LGBT community in a thoughtful and respectful way. | LGBT Police Liaison or Task Force | | |
| | Reported 2012 Hate Crimes Statistics to the FBI | | 10 10 |
| | SCORE | | 10 out of 18 |
| VI Dolotionship with | the LCRT Communi | +,, | |
| • | the LGBT Communi | · | CITY AVAILABLE |
| This category measures the city leadership's commitment to fully include the LGBT community and to advocate for full equality. | Leadership's Public Position on LGBT Equal | ity | 0 5 |
| | Leadership's Pro-Equality Legislative/Policy Efforts | | 0 3 |
| | SCORE | | 0 out of 8 |
| | BONUS Openly LGBT elected or appointemunicipal leaders | d | +0 +3 |
| | BONUS City tests limits of restrictive state law | | +0 +2 |
| | | Et | |

V.

VI

| SCORE | |
|-------|----|
| BONUS | Op |

TOTAL SCORE 63 + TOTAL BONUS 2 =

FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT CITY SELECTION, CRITERIA OR THE MEI SCORING SYSTEM, PLEASE VISIT HRC.ORG/MEI.

All cities rated were provided their scorecard in advance of publication and given the opportunity to submit revisions. For feedback regarding a particular city's scorecard, please email mei@hrc.org.





Final Score 65

CANNOT EXCEED 100