

FORT SMITH, ARKANSAS 1/2

2015 MUNICIPAL EQUALITY INDEX SCORECARD

| I. Non-Discrimination Laws | | STATE | COUNTY | CITY | AVAILABLE |
|--|--|-------|--------|----------------|----------------|
| This category evaluates whether discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity is prohibited by the city, county, or state in areas of employment, housing, and public accommodations. | Employment Housing Public Accommodations | | | 00 00 00 | 55 55 55 |
| | SCORE | | | 0 o | ut of 30 |

II. Municipality as E

By offering equivalent benefits and protections to LGBT employees, and by awarding contracts to fair-minded businesses, municipalities commit themselves to treating LGBT employees equally.

| m | ploye | er | CITY | AVAILABLE |
|----|------------|--|-------------|-----------|
| | Non-Disc | rimination in City Employment | 00 | 66 |
| s, | Transgeno | der-Inclusive Healthcare Benefits | 0 | 6 |
| | City Conti | ractor Non-Discrimination Ordinance | | 33 |
| | SCORE | | 0 or | ut of 24 |
| | BONUS | Municipality is a Welcoming Place to Work | +0 | +2 |

FORT SMITH, ARKANSAS

2015 MUNICIPAL EQUALITY INDEX SCORECARD

IV. Law Enforcement

Fair enforcement of the law includes LGBT Police Liaiso responsible reporting of hate crimes and engaging with the LGBT community in a Reported 2013 Ha thoughtful and respectful way. to the FBI

SCORE

V. Relati

| he LGBT Community | CITY | AVAILABLE |
|--|---|---|
| Leadership's Public Position on LGBT Equality | 0 | 5 |
| Leadership's Pro-Equality Legislative or Policy Efforts | 0 | 3 |
| SCORE | 0 | out of 8 |
| BONUS Openly LGBT elected or appointed municipal leaders | +0 | +3 |
| BONUS Cities are pro-equality despite restrictive state law | +0 | +4 |
| | | |
| | Leadership's Public Position on LGBT Equality Leadership's Pro-Equality Legislative or Policy Efforts SCORE BONUS Openly LGBT elected or appointed municipal leaders BONUS Cities are pro-equality despite | Leadership's Public Position on LGBT Equality Leadership's Pro-Equality Legislative or Policy Efforts Image: Constraint of the second seco |

III. Municipal Services

This section assesses the efforts of to ensure LGBT constituents are incl city services and programs.

| ervices | | STATE | COUNTY | CITY | AVAILABLE | | |
|-------------------------|-----------|--|--------|------|-------------|----------|--|
| f the city cluded in | Human Ri | ghts Commission | | | 0 | (5) | |
| | LGBT Liai | son in the Mayor's Office | | | \bigcirc | 5 | |
| | Enumerat | ed Anti-Bullying School Policies | 33 | 00 | 33 | 33 | |
| | SCORE | | | | 6 οι | ut of 16 | |
| | BONUS | Enforcement mechanism in Human Rights Commission | | | +0 | +3 | |
| | BONUS | City provides services to LGBT youth | | | +0 | +2 | |
| | BONUS | City provides services to LGBT homeless | | | +0 | +2 | |
| | BONUS | City provides services to LGBT elderly | | | +0 | +2 | |
| | BONUS | City provides services to people living with HIV/AIDS | | | +0 | +2 | |

PTS FOR SEXUAL ORIENTATION

FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT CITY SELECTION, CRITERIA OR THE MEI SCORING SYSTEM, PLEASE VISIT HRC.ORG/MEI. All cities rated were provided their scorecard in advance of publication and given the opportunity to submit revisions. For feedback regarding a particular city's scorecard, please email mei@hrc.org.

| | _ | |
|---|---|----------|
| 9 | _ | റ |
| 2 | | 2 |
| | | |



| | СІТҮ | AVAILABLE |
|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|
| on or Task Force | 0 | (10) |
| ate Crimes Statistics | (12) | 12 |
| | 12 or | ut of 22 |

TOTAL SCORE 18 + TOTAL BONUS 0 =



CANNOT EXCEED 100

BONUS PTS for criteria not accessible to all cities at this time.