

CHANDLER, ARIZONA 1/2 2015 MUNICIPAL EQUALITY INDEX SCORECARD

COUNTY



(10)

12

AVAILABLE

10

AVAILABLE

I. Non-Discrimination Laws

This category evaluates whether discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity is prohibited by the city, county, or state in areas of employment, housing, and public accommodations.

Lavvs
Employment
Housing
Public Accommodations

Place to Work

Lavvo
Employment
Housing
Public Accommodations

0 0	0
00	0

STATE



CITY

CITY

5 5
5 5

AVAILABLE

AVAILABLE

SCORE **0** out of 30

II. Municipality as Employer

By offering equivalent benefits and protections to LGBT employees, and by awarding contracts to fair-minded businesses, municipalities commit themselves to treating LGBT employees equally.

Non-Discrimination in City Employment	6 6 6 6
Transgender-Inclusive Healthcare Benefits	0 6
City Contractor Non-Discrimination Ordinance	3 3 3 3
SCORE	18 out of 24
BONUS Municipality is a Welcoming	

III. Municipal Service

This section assesses the efforts of the city to ensure LGBT constituents are included in city services and programs.

es		STATE	COUNTY	CITY	AVAILABLE
Human F	Rights Commission			5	5
LGBT Lia	aison in the Mayor's Office			5	5
Enumera	ted Anti-Bullying School Policies	00	00	30	3 3
SCORE				13 out of 16	
BONUS	Enforcement mechanism in Human Rights Commission			+0	+3
BONUS	City provides services to LGBT youth			+0	+2
BONUS	City provides services to LGBT homeless			+0	+2
BONUS	City provides services to LGBT elderly			+0	+2
BONUS	City provides services to people living with HIV/AIDS			+2	+2

CHANDLER, ARIZONA 2/2 2015 MUNICIPAL EQUALITY INDEX SCORECARD

IV. Law Enforcement

Fair enforcement of the law includes responsible reporting of hate crimes and engaging with the LGBT community in a thoughtful and respectful way.

LGBT Police Liaison or Task Force Reported 2013 Hate Crimes Statistics to the FBI

SCORE 22 out of 22

V. Relationship with the LGBT Community

SCORE

This category measures the city leadership's commitment to fully include the LGBT community and to advocate for full equality.

Leadership's Public Position on LGBT Equality

or Policy Efforts

BONUS Openly LGBT elected or appointed

BONUS Cities are pro-equality despite restrictive state law

Leadership's Pro-Equality Legislative

3 out of 8

municipal leaders

TOTAL SCORE 56 + TOTAL BONUS 7 =

Final Score 63

CANNOT EXCEED 100

2

PTS FOR SEXUAL ORIENTATION -



PTS FOR GENDER IDENTITY



BONUS PTS for criteria not accessible to all cities at this time.

FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT CITY SELECTION, CRITERIA OR THE MEI SCORING SYSTEM, PLEASE VISIT HRC.ORG/MEI.

All cities rated were provided their scorecard in advance of publication and given the opportunity to submit revisions. For feedback regarding a particular city's scorecard, please email mei@hrc.org.

hrc.org/mei hrc.org/mei